

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispico.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/878,978	06/19/1997	STEPHEN F. LINDER	D/97063	8923
75	590 01/23/2002			
RONALD ZIBELLI			EXAMINER	
XEROX CORP	*			
XEROX SQUARE 20A ROCHESTER, NY 14644				
ROCHESTER,	N I 14044		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				77
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2002	L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)

. 🔌

Application No. 08/878,978 Applicant(s)

Stephen F. Linder

Examiner

		King Y. Poon	2624			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The Appeal Brief filed on Oct 12, 2001 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.						
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 3 in the proper order.	7 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are r	not under the pr	oper heading or		
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status o appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	f all claims, pending or cancelled,	or does not ide	entify the		
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)	o the final rejection, and the brief on (4)).	does not contai	n a statement of		
4. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of th number and to the drawing, if any, by reference chara	e claimed invention, referring to the cters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).	ne specification	by page and line		
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the	issues presented for review (37 C	;FR 1.192(c)(6)).		
6. 🗌	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two o	or more claims in this application, a	and			
(a	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR yet presents arguments in support thereof in the a	1.192(c)(7) that one or more claim rgument section of the brief.	s do not stand	or fall together,		
(b	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CF together, yet does not present arguments in support			nd or fall		
7. 🗀	The brief does not present an argument under a separ	rate heading for each issue on ap	peal (37 CFR 1	.192(c)(8)).		
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appear	aled claims as an appendix thereto) (37 CFR 1.19	2(c)(9)).		
9. 🔀	Other (including any explanation in support of the abo	ve items):				
	The notice of appeal filed on 10/12/2001 is defective to invention does not refer to the specification by page a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>rention under</i> s	ummary of		
		GABRIEL GARCH PRIMARY EXAMINE	alaca			